

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1343, and 1345.
- 2. This action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) and Section 707 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3) ("Title VII") and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- 3. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed within the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Southern District of California.

## **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff, United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, is the federal agency charged with the administration, interpretation, and enforcement of Title VII, and is expressly authorized to bring this action by Section 706(f)(1) and (3) and Section 707 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3).
- 5. At all relevant times, Defendants have continuously been doing business nationwide, including in the State of California and in the jurisdiction of the United States District Court of the Southern District of California. At all relevant times, Defendants have continuously employed fifteen (15) or more persons.
- 6. At all relevant times, Defendants have continuously engaged in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of Sections 701(b), (g), and (h) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b), (g), and (h).
- 7. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued as Does 1 through 10, inclusive. Therefore, Plaintiff sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff reserves the right to amend the complaint to name the Doe Defendants as they become known. Plaintiff alleges that each of the Defendants named as Doe Defendants was in some manner responsible for the acts and omissions ///

8. All of the acts and failures to act alleged in this complaint were duly performed by and attributable to all Defendants, each acting as successor, agent, employee, or under the direction and control of the others, except as otherwise specifically alleged. The alleged acts and failures to act were within the scope of such agency and/or employment, and each Defendant participated in, approved and/or ratified the other Defendants' unlawful acts and omissions alleged in this complaint. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to any act by a Defendant or Defendants, such allegations and reference shall also be deemed to mean the acts and failures to act of each Defendant acting individually, jointly, and/or severally.

### **STATEMENT OF CLAIMS**

- 9. More than thirty days prior to the filing of this lawsuit, Yesica Owen and Eliza Navarette filed charges with the Commission alleging that Defendants violated Title VII. The Commission investigated and issued a Letter of Determination for each of the charge. The Commission found that Defendants subjected Yesica Owen, Eliza Navarette, and other similarly situated employees to sexual harassment / hostile work environment on the basis of sex, female. All conditions precedent to the filing of this lawsuit have been fulfilled.
- 10. Since at least October 2002, Defendants have engaged in unlawful employment practices in violation of Section 703(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1) at one of HomeTown Buffet's restaurants located in El Cajon, California. Defendants subjected Yesica Owen, Eliza Navarette, and other similarly situated employees to sexual harassment / hostile work environment on the basis of sex, female. The sexual harassment included, but not limited to, unwanted physical touching and/or sexually charged and/or suggestive speech and/or conduct.

27 ///

28 | ///

///

- 11. Even after receiving complaints of sexual harassment, Defendants failed to take immediate and effective corrective action to prevent or to correct the sexual harassment.
- 12. The effect of the practices complained as described in paragraphs 10 and 11 has been to deprive Yesica Owen, Eliza Navarette, and other similarly situated employees of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect their status as employees, because of their sex, female.
  - 13. The unlawful employment practices described above were intentional.
- 14. The unlawful employment practices described above were done with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights of Yesica Owen, Eliza Navarette, and the similarly situated employees.
- 15. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of Defendants as described above, Yesica Owen, Eliza Navarette, and the similarly situated employees have suffered pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, humiliation and damages, all to be proven at trial.
- 16. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' acts as described above, Yesica Owen, Eliza Navarette, and the similarly situated employees suffered a loss of earnings in an amount to be proven at trial.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

Wherefore, the Commission respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their respective officers, successors, assigns, agents, and all persons in active concert or participation with them, from engaging in any employment practice which discriminates or creates a hostile environment on the basis of sex;
- B. Order Defendants to institute and carry out policies, practices, and programs which provide equal employment opportunities, and which eradicate the effects of its past and present unlawful employment practices;

- Order Defendants to make whole Yesica Owen, Eliza Navarette, and the C. similarly situated employees by providing the appropriate back pay with prejudgment interest, and front pay in amounts to be determined at trial, and/or other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of Defendants' unlawful employment
- Order Defendants to make whole Yesica Owen, Eliza Navarette, and the similarly situated employees by providing compensation for past and future nonpecuniary losses resulting from the unlawful practices complained as described above, including, but not limited to pain and suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and humiliation, in amounts to be determined at trial;
- Order Defendants to pay Yesica Owen, Eliza Navarette, and the similarly situated employees punitive damages for its malicious and /or reckless conduct as
- Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper in the
  - Award the Commission its costs of this action.

/// /// 26 /// ///

///

///

///

22

23

24

25

27

28

1

JURY TRIAL DEMAND The Commission requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by its Complaint. Dated: September 29, 2006 Respectfully Submitted RONALD COOPER, General Counsel JAMES LEE, Deputy General Counsel GWENDOLYN YOUNG REAMS, Associate General Counsel U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 1801 "L" Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20507 By: Regional Attorney U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION 

	•	CIVIL COV	ek speei	- 3	Sa the Lat
I(a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS		•
U.S. Equal Employment (	Opportunity Commission		Hometown Buffet, Inc., a	nd Does 1-10 inclusive	29 PM 2: 38
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDEN	CE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	Los Angeles		FIRST LISTED DEFENDA PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)	MT SHILD ICEO
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NA	AME, ADDRESS AND TELEPHON	E NUMBER)	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)	57 Y annual contract	MOBEPUTY
Anna Y. Park U.S. Equal Employment C 255 East Temple Street, 4 Los Angeles, CA 90012	th Floor	. <sub>.</sub>	'06 CV 2	150 JM	JMA
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX		ITIZENSHIP OF PRINC	CIPAL PARTIES (PLACE	CE AN x IN ONE BOX
■ 1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question	· ·	PTF DEF	PORTEABILITY	PTF DER
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizer	of This State 🛛 1 🗖 1	Incorporated or Principal I Business In This State	Place of 0 4 0 4
□ 2 U.S. Government □ Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties In	Item III) Citizer	of Another State 🗆 2 🗆 2	Incorporated and Principal Business In Another State	
			or Subject of a D3 D3	Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
IV. ORIGIN (PLACE AN x I Original Droceeding Cate Cor	i from D 3 Remanded from	□ 4 Reinstated of Reopened	Transferred from another district (specify)	© 6 Multi-district © 7	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIO	N (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UI DNAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY RE Civil Rights Act of 1964 and T	Y.)			ctices.
VII. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN x IN ONE BO	X ONLY)			<u> </u>
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT		TORTS	FORFEITURE / PENALT	Y BANKRUPTCY
□ 400 State	□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJUI		□ 610 Agriculture	□ 422 Appeal
Reapportionment  410 Antitrust	☐ 120 Marine	□ 310 Airplane	□ 362 Personal Injury-	□ 620 Other Food & Dru	g 28 USC 158
□ 430 Banks and Banking	☐ 130 Miller Act☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	□ 315 Airplane Pr Liability		□ 625 Drug Related	□ 423 Withdrawal
	c   150 Recovery of Overpayment		□ 365 Personal Injury- pel & Product Liability	Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	y 28 USC 157
□ 460 Deportation	& Enforcement of	Slander	□ 368 Asbestos Persona		PROPERTY RIGHTS
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		□ 330 Fed. Emplo		□ 640 R.R. & Truck	□ 820 Copyrights
Corrupt Organizations	□ 151 Medicare Act	Liability	Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs	□ 830 Patent
<ul> <li>□ 810 Selective Service</li> <li>□ 850 Securities/Commodities/</li> </ul>	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Pro	duct PERSONAL PROPERT		□ 840 Trademark
Exchange	(Excl. Veterans)	Liability	□ 371 Truth in Lending	Safety /Health  □ 690 Other	SOCIAL SECURITY
□ 875 Customer Challenge	☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment			- ON OTHER	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)
12 USC 3410	of Veteran's Benefits	□ 355 Motor Veh	cle Property Damage	LABOR	□ 862 Black Lung (923)
☐ 891 Agricultural Act ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liz	, , ,		□ 863 DIWC/DIWW
Act	195 Contract Product Liability		nal Product Liability	Standards Act  720 Labor/Mgmt.	(405(g))
□ 893 Environmental Matters				Relations	□ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))
□ 894 Energy Allocation Act	REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	□ 730 Labor/Mgmt.	
□ 895 Freedom of Information Act	□ 210 Land Condemnation	U 441 Voting	□ 510 Motions to Vacat	,	FEDERAL TAX SUITS
□ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	□ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	■ 442 Employment 443 Housing/	nt Sentence Habeas Corpus	Disclosure Act	□ 870 Taxes (U.S.
nation Under Equal	240 Torts to Land	Accommod		□ 740 Railway Labor Act	Plaintiff or Defendant)
Access to Justice	□ 245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare	☐ 535 Death Penalty	□ 790 Other Labor	□ 871 IRS-Third Party
950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	□ 290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil	Rights 540 Mandamus/Other		26 USC 7609
□ 890 Other Statutory Actions	<u> </u>		□ 555 Prison Condition	Security Act	
VIII(a). IDENTICAL (	CASES: Has this action been pro	eviously filed and	dismissed, remanded or close	1? DYES DNO	
If yes, list case number(s):					······································
CV-71 (10/01)		CIVIL COVER SH	EET		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY:	☐ Pro Hac Vice fee: ☐ paid	not paid			Page 1 of 2
	•	Judgė	Mag. Judge		
	: rrv		mag. Juage_		

# CIVIL COVER SHEET (Reverse Side)

#### AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM JS-44C, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have	e any cases been previously	filed that are related to the present case?   IYES MINO	
If yes, list case number(s):			
(CHECK ALL BOXES THAT A	APPLY)	FILED CASE AND THE PRESENT CASE:  to arise from the same or substantially identical transactions, happenings, or events; the same or substantially the same parties or property; the same patent, trademark or copyright; r determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law, or for other reasons may entail unnecessary duplication of labor if heard by different judges.	
IX. VENUE: List the Califor  ☐ CHECK HERE IF THE U	nia County, or State if S GOVERNMENT, I'	other than California, in which EACH named plaintiff resides (Use an additional sheet if necessary) IS AGENCIES OR EMPLOYEES IS A NAMED PLAINTIFF.	
List the California County, or CHECK HERE IF THE USan Diego	State if other than Cal JS GOVERNMENT, I	ifornia, in which EACH named defendant resides. (Use an additional sheet if necessary). TS AGENCIES OR EMPLOYEES IS A NAMED DEFENDANT.	
		lifornia, in which EACH claim arose. (Use an additional sheet if necessary) n of the tract of land involved.	
San Diego			
		Wa pat to	
the filing and service of plea September 1974, is required	ARTIES: The CV-71 dings or other papers appers appers appers and to Local Rule	(JS-44) Civil Cover Sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement as required by law. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in 3-1 is not filed but is used by the Clerk of the Court for the purpose of statistics, venue and instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
Key to Statistical codes relati	ng to Social Security (	Cases:	
NATURE OF SUIT CODE	ABBREVIATION	SUBSTANTIVE STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION	
861	HIA	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Soci Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))	
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Healt and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)	
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))	
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Ti 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))	
864	SSID	All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Titl 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.	
865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))	
CV-71 (10/01)		CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2	

Page 2 of 2